

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,146	06/27/2003	Alfred W. Prais	4133-030915 (P-5532/2P1)	6718
26253 7:	590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	IIGHET, VP AND CI	DESANTO, N	DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880	0	3763	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20060619		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Application Papers					
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ıne 2003</u> .				
Status					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
TI MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763	(due e e		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	10/609,146	PRAIS ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A – Figures 4,5,7,11,12,13

Species B – Figures 14,15,16

Species C – Figures 17,18,19

Species D – Figure 20

Species E – Figure 22

Species F – Figure 23, 24

The species are independent or distinct because the have different shielding means, or connecting means or have a lumen through the hub.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17 are generic but not allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

June 19, 2006